	Application	io.   Applicant(	S)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/826,856	PARKER, I	KENNETH A.
Lammer-minated interview Summ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Mill	er 3677	
All Participants:	Status of A	pplication:	
(1) William L. Miller.	(3)	·	
(2) Michael Gratz.	(4)	·	
Date of Interview: 9 August 2006	Time:	_	
	☐ Applicant's representa No	ative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1-3, 5, 6, and 9-30  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH Claims 2 and 19 were rejoined. Non-elected claims 6 order to read on the elected invention (combination).	and 12-17 were canceled.	Claim 27 was amended to cla	aim the mailbox in
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner will eparate record of the si	provide a written summary ubstance of the interview, s	y of the substance since the interview
WILLIAM L. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER			
	Applicant/Applicant's Re	presentative Signature – if	appropriate)